

S - 2 5 3 8 9 3 No. Vancouver Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

James Bernard MacKinnon

Plaintiff

and

Anthropic PBC

Defendants

Brought under the Class Proceedings Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 50

NOTICE OF CIVIL CLAIM

This action has been started by the plaintiff for the relief set out in Part 2 below.

If you intend to respond to this action, you or your lawyer must

- (a) file a response to civil claim in Form 2 in the above-named registry of this court within the time for response to civil claim described below, and
- (b) serve a copy of the filed response to civil claim on the plaintiff.

If you intend to make a counterclaim, you or your lawyer must

- (c) file a response to civil claim in Form 2 and a counterclaim in Form 3 in the above-named registry of this court within the time for response to civil claim described below, and
- (d) serve a copy of the filed response to civil claim and counterclaim on the plaintiff and on any new parties named in the counterclaim.

JUDGMENT MAY BE PRONOUNCED AGAINST YOU IF YOU FAIL to file the response to civil claim within the time for response to civil claim described below.

Time for response to civil claim

A response to civil claim must be filed and served on the plaintiff,

- (a) if you were served with the notice of civil claim anywhere in Canada, within 21 days after that service,
- (b) if you were served the notice of civil claim anywhere in the United States of America, within 35 days after that service,
- (c) if you were served with the notice of civil claim anywhere else, within 49 days after that service, or
- (d) if the time for response to civil claim has been set by order of the court, within that time.

PART 1: STATEMENT OF FACTS

Introduction

- 1. Anthropic PBC ("Anthropic") set out to build an artificial intelligence ("Al") large language model. It chose to train its model on a library of books, believing that this would give it an advantage over its competitors and make for a better model. However, rather than license books to use for this purpose, or rely only on books that were the public domain, it instead obtained a data set online that it knew contained unlicensed copyrighted material. It made no effort to pay the owners of this copyright to obtain or use their works.
- 2. The Plaintiff is an author whose works were used without his consent, license or permission by Anthropic to train its Al large language models. The Plaintiff brings a claim in copyright and unjust enrichment on his own behalf and on behalf of a

class of persons resident in Canada who also had their copyrighted materials used without their license of permission by Anthropic to train its Al large language models.

The Plaintiff

- 3. The Plaintiff, James Bernard MacKinnon, is an author, journalist, editor and educator. The Plaintiff is commonly cited in his publications as J.B. MacKinnon. The Plaintiff has an address for service at 400-856 Homer St, Vancouver, British Columbia, and has been a resident of British Columbia throughout the Class Period (defined below).
- 4. The Plaintiff is the author of, and owns the copyright to, the following works:
 - (a) The Once and Future World, published in 2013, a national bestselling non-fiction work ("The Once and Future World"); and
 - (b) The Day the World Stops Shopping, published in 2021, a national bestselling non-fiction work ("The Day the World Stops Shopping").
- 5. The Plaintiff is the co-author of, and co-owns the copyright to, the following work:
 - (a) The 100-Mile Diet, published in 2007, a national bestselling non-fiction work ("The 100-Mile Diet").

(Co-authored and copyright co-owned with Alisa Smith)

Defendants

- 6. The Defendant, Anthropic PBC, is a Delaware company with its principal place of business at 548 Market Street, PMB 90375, San Francisco, California, USA 94104.
- 7. Anthropic was founded by former employees of Open AI, Inc. in January 2021. It has since secured significant investment from Amazon.com, Inc. and Google LLC, and/or companies affiliated with those entities.

The Class and the Class Period

8. This action is brought on behalf of all members of a class consisting of the Plaintiff and all natural persons or corporations, resident in Canada, who owned or co-owned the copyright to works used by Anthropic to train one of the various versions of the Anthropic LLMs (defined below) (the "Class" and/or the "Class Members") between January 2021 and the present (the "Class Period").

Large Language Models

- 9. Large Language Models ("LLMs") are a form of AI software that are designed to comprehend, and to generate, natural language.
- 10. In order to construct an LLM it is necessary to "train" it on vast arrays of text. Specifically, the software is fed with huge amounts of text from a variety of sources, from which the machine "learns" how to comprehend and generate language. The text fed to the LLM is called the training data set.
- 11. The LLM is programed to copy each text it is fed and to extract information from it.

 It uses this information to adjust its output so that this output will more closely resemble what it copied from the initial data set. Eventually, an LLM may be able to generate effective simulations of natural written language.

The Claude LLMs

- 12. In March 2023, Anthropic released an AI LLM product branded as "Claude". Anthropic completed much of the training for Claude by the summer of 2022. Anthropic has since released a series of "Claude" products, which constitute a collection of large language models.
- 13. The first version of Claude trained by Anthropic was called simply "Claude". Anthropic trained Claude using a data set called the Books3 dataset, which Anthropic downloaded off of the internet without paying a licensing fee (the "Books3 Dataset").

14. The Books3 Dataset was described in a paper entitled "The Pile: An 800gb dataset of diverse text for language modeling" by Leo Gao *et al* in the following way:

Books3 is a dataset of books derived from a copy of the contents of the Bibliotik private tracker made available by Shawn Presser (Presser, 2020). Bibliotik consists of a mix of fiction and nonfiction books and is almost an order of magnitude larger than our next largest book dataset (BookCorpus2). We included Bibliotik because books are invaluable for long-range context modeling research and coherent storytelling.

- 15. Bibliotik contains approximately 196,640 books, including books by Canadian authors with active copyright protection. Mr. Presser has confirmed publicly that the Books3 dataset contains all of the Bibliotek books.
- 16. Anthropic downloaded the Books3 dataset and used it to train Claude.
- 17. The Books3 Dataset included *The Once and Future World*, which the Plaintiff authored and which he holds copyright to, and *The 100-Mile Diet*, which the Plaintiff co-authored and which he co-owns copyright to. In respect of *The 100-Mile Diet*, the Books3 dataset included both the Canadian version and the United States version of the book (*The 100-Mile Diet* was published in the United States as "*Plenty: Eating Locally on the 100-Mile Diet*").
- 18. Anthropic used *The Once and Future World* and *The 100-Mile Diet*, obtained off of the Books3 Dataset, to train its Claude LLM. Anthropic did not offer or pay a licensing fee to the Plaintiff, or otherwise obtain his consent, to obtain or use *The Once and Future World* and *The 100-Mile Diet* in this way.
- 19. The Books3 Dataset contained other copyrighted material, including books whose copyright is owned by the Class Members and which is protected under Canadian law.
- 20. The Books3 Dataset did not license the copyrighted work it contained in any way, and did not license *The Once and Future World* or *The 100-Mile Diet*.

- 21. Anthropic knew that the Books3 Dataset contained copyrighted material, yet nevertheless downloaded and used the dataset.
- 22. Anthropic did not pay the authors of the copyrighted material contained in the Books3 Dataset in order to access or use their work, and did not otherwise obtain a license or consent from Class Members to use copyrighted material in the Books3 Dataset.
- 23. Anthropic decided to train its large language model on books, including newer books sill under copyright, because it believed doing so would improve its model and give it an advantage over its competition.
- 24. Anthropic has trained various successor versions to Claude, including Claude 2, Claude 3, Claude 3.5, and Claude 3.7 Sonnet. Each successive version of Claude builds off of and incorporates the training done with the Books3 Dataset.
- 25. Anthropic markets access to Claude at various price points. It is available for free to try with usage limits, and subscriptions are available for users who wish to be able to make more use of the program. These subscriptions cost up to \$100 USD / Month.
- 26. The Claude offerings that Anthropic makes available include (1) claude.ai, a web based version of its AI assistant, (2) the Claude iOS app, a mobile version of its AI assistance, (3) the Claude API, an interface that allows developers to integrate Claude into their applications, and (4) the Claude Team plan, a workspace for team members to collaborate that offers increased usage.
- 27. Claude has also been sold to third party companies for integration into their services. It has been integrated into Amazon's services, who have committed to investing up to USD \$4 billion with Anthropic.
- 28. Anthropic used software to remove copyright management information from the books it downloaded to train Claude, including books covered by copyright held by

- Class Members. It did not have the permission of the copyright holders to alter their works to remove copyright information.
- 29. Anthropic used a software to remove copyright management information from the Plaintiff's books. It did not have the permission of the Plaintiff to alter their works to remove copyright information.
- 30. On June 5, 2024, Anthropic made Claude available in Canada.
- 31. Collectively, the material copyrighted under Canadian law owned by authors resident in Canada used by Anthropic to train its Claude LLMs, including material in the Books3 data set, is "the Copyrighted Works".

Anthropic Concealment

- 32. Anthropic took steps to conceal the fact that it had infringed copyright material in training Claude. These steps include:
 - (a) Training Claude to respond in a misleading way if a user asked if it was built off of copyrighted material;
 - (b) Removing copyright management information from material before it was fed into Claude so that the LLM did not itself learn that it was built off of copyrighted material.

Anthropic Benefited

- 33. Training the LLM on copyrighted materials including the Copyrighted Works has improved the performance of the Anthropic LLMs.
- 34. Anthropic has monetized, currently monetizes, and plans in the future to continue to monetize, both directly and indirectly, the Anthropic LLMs.
- 35. In the 4 years since its founding, Anthropic has grown to have a valuation of over \$60 billion USD.

The Plaintiff and the Class Members Suffered a Loss

- 36. The Plaintiff and the Class Members held copyright in the Copyrighted Works. This copyright was infringed, which inherently causes a loss to a copyright holder.
- 37. Further, the Plaintiff and the Class Members lost the opportunity to choose to license their copyright in the Copyrighted Works to Anthropic, for some or any purpose that the Plaintiff and the Class Members may see fit.
- 38. The Plaintiff and the Class Members lost the opportunity to choose not to contribute to the development of the Anthropic LLMs, which pose a threat to people who do creative work, including authors.
- 39. The Anthropic LLMs pose a threat to the market for the creative written work that the Plaintiff and the Class Members produce.

Anthropic Circumvented Technological Protection Measures

- 40. Some of the Copyrighted Works were made available by their publisher as electronic books (the "Copyrighted E Books")
- 41. The Copyrighted E Books were protected from unauthorized copying by encryption and/or digital rights management ("DRM") tools such as access control technology (collectively, encryption and DRM are the "Technological Protection Measures").
- 42. By downloading the Copyrighted E Books from the Books3 data sets, Anthropic circumvented the Technological Protection Measures.
- 43. Anthropic removed and altered rights management information in electronic form without the consent of the owner of the copyright in the work by using software to remove copyright management information in the Copyrighted Works, including the Copyrighted E Books.

44. Anthropic removed and altered rights management information in electronic form without the consent of the Plaintiff by using software to remove copyright management information in his works.

The Plaintiff and the Class Members Were Given No Opportunity to Sell or License

45. Anthropic provided copyright holders in Canada, including the Plaintiff and other Class Members, no opportunity to license their books to Anthropic for the purposes of training the Anthropic LLMs.

Anthropic Knew

- 46. Anthropic at all relevant times knew or ought to have known that the Copyrighted Works were copyrighted.
- 47. Anthropic at all relevant times knew or ought to have known that it did not have a license or otherwise have permission to download the Copyrighted Works, or to use the Copyrighted Works to train the Anthropic LLMs.
- 48. Anthropic determined that, rather than attempt to obtain a license, it would violate copyright and face whatever consequences arose. In so doing it acted in a high handed manner without regard for the rights of the Plaintiff or the Class Members.
- 49. Anthropic at all relevant times knew or ought to have known that the Copyrighted E Books were protected by Technological Protection Measures, and that it was circumventing such measures by acquiring the Copyrighted Works through the Books3 datasets.

PART 2: RELIEF SOUGHT

- 50. The Plaintiff claims on their own behalf and on behalf of the proposed Class Members:
 - (a) An order pursuant to s. 4 of the *Class Proceedings Act*, [RSBC 1996] c. 50, ("*CPA*") certifying this action as a class proceeding;

- (b) An order pursuant to s. 2 of the *CPA* appointing the Plaintiff as the representative Plaintiff;
- (c) A declaration that the defendant is liable for infringing, authorizing, and or inducing the infringement of the copyright owned by the Plaintiff and the class members in the Copyrighted Works;
- (d) A declaration that the defendant is liable for circumventing a technological protection measure contrary to ss. 41 and 41.1 of the *Copyright Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. 42;
- (e) A declaration that the defendant is liable for knowingly removing or altering rights management information in electronic form contrary to s. 41.22(1) of the *Copyright Act;*
- (f) A declaration that the defendant is liable for unjustly enriching themselves at the expense of the Plaintiff and the Class Members;
- (g) Damages, including damages under ss. 35, 41.1 and 41.22 of the *Copyright Act*, and/or statutory damages under s. 38.1 of the *Copyright Act*;
- (h) An order pursuant to ss. 35 and/or 41.1 of the Copyright Act for, in addition to damages, such part of the profits that the defendant has made from the infringement that were not taken into account in calculating the damages that the court considers just;
- (i) An accounting and disgorgement of profits and/or restitution in respect of the defendants' unjust enrichment;
- (j) Aggregate damages;
- (k) Punitive damages for the defendant's repeated, wilful, and knowing infringement of the Plaintiff and the Class Member's copyright in the Copyrighted Works;

- (I) A permanent injunction pursuant to s. 34, 41.1 and 41.22 of the Copyright Act restraining the defendant, its directors, officers, employees, agents, licensees, successors, assigns, related or affiliated companies, and all those under the control of the Defendants from, directly or indirectly, infringing copyright in the Copyrighted Works or circumventing the Technological Protection Measures;
- (m) Pre-judgement and post-judgement interest pursuant to the *Court Order Interest Act*;
- (n) Costs of all notices and of administering the plan of distribution of the judgment in this action, together with applicable taxes; and
- (o) Such further and other relief as this Honourable Court may deem just.

PART 3: LEGAL BASIS

- 51. The Plaintiff plead and relies upon:
 - (a) The Class Proceedings Act;
 - (b) The Copyright Act;
 - (c) The Court Order Interest Act, [R.S.B.C. 1996], c. 79; and
 - (d) The Supreme Court Civil Rules, B.C. Reg. 168/2009.

Causes of Action

Breach of Copyright Act

52. The Plaintiff and the Class Members are the owners of the copyright in the Copyrighted Works.

- 53. Without license or permission from the Plaintiff and the Class Members, Anthropic has reproduced and continues to reproduce and exploit the Copyrighted Works (or a substantial part thereof), contrary to ss. 3 and 27 of the *Copyright Act*.
- 54. Anthropic has circumvented technological protection measures contrary to s. 41.1 of the *Copyright Act*.
- Anthropic removed and altered rights management information in electronic form without the consent of the owner of the copyright contrary to s. 41.22 of the *Copyright Act*.
- 56. Anthropic continues to breach the *Copyright Act* as described above.

Unjust Enrichment

- 57. Anthropic has benefited from its use of the Copyrighted Work without license or permission as that work allowed it to improve the potentially extremely lucrative Anthropic LLMs.
- 58. The Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered a corresponding deprivation as they lost the ability to either license their work to Anthropic or choose to not participate in the development of the Anthropic LLMs.
- 59. There is no juristic reason for this benefit and corresponding deprivation.

Remedies

Damages

60. Pursuant to s. 34 of the *Copyright Act*, the Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to all remedies including by way damages, accounts, delivery up and otherwise that are or may be conferred by law for the infringement of a right. As a result of Anthropic's acts and omissions, as particularized above, the Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered losses and damages. These damages include loss of control of their copyrighted works and the loss of licensing revenue.

- 61. The Plaintiff and the Class Members claim damages under ss. 35, 41.1 and 41.22 of the *Copyright Act*, and may elect at any time prior to final judgement being rendered to pursue statutory damages under s. 38.1 of the *Copyright Act*.
- 62. The Plaintiff and the Class Members claim an accounting of profits and disgorgement and/or restitution in respect of Anthropic's unjust enrichment.

Punitive Damages

63. Anthropic's conduct in acquiring, sharing, and using the Copyrighted Works was high handed, arrogant, and displayed a reckless disregard for the rights of the Class Members. The Plaintiff asks this Court to award punitive damages against Anthropic in an amount deemed appropriate by the Court.

Injunction

of the Copyright Act restraining the Defendants, their directors, officers, employees, agents, licensees, successors, assigns, related or affiliated companies, and all those under the control of the Defendants from, directly or indirectly, infringing copyright in the Copyrighted Works or circumventing the Technological Protection Measures. This includes publishing or making available in British Columbia any Anthropic LLM built off of or integrating infringement of the plaintiff and the Class Member's copyright.

Jurisdiction

65. This action has a real and substantial connection with British Columbia because, among other things, it concerns property located in British Columbia, a tort that caused damage to the Plaintiff in British Columbia, restitutionary obligations arising in British Columbia, is a claim for an injunction ordering a party to do or refrain from doing anything in British Columbia.

Plaintiff's address for service:

CFM LAWYERS LLP

#400 – 856 Homer Street Vancouver, BC V6B 2W5

Tel: (604) 689-7555 Fax: (604) 689-7554

Email: service@cfmlawyers.ca

Place of trial:

Vancouver Law Courts

Address of the registry:

800 Smithe Street, Vancouver, BC V6Z 2E1

Date: 23/May/2025

Signature of lawyers

for Plaintiff

Reidar Mogerman and Oliver Pulleyblank

ENDORSEMENT ON ORIGINATING PLEADING OR PETITION FOR SERVICE OUTSIDE BRITISH COLUMBIA

The Plaintiff claims the right to serve this pleading/petition on the Defendants outside British Columbia on the ground that:

The Plaintiff has at all material times been a resident of British Columbia and has suffered loss in British Columbia. This Action property in British Columbia, a tort that was committed in British Columbia, is a claim for an injunction ordering a party to do or refrain from doing anything in British Columbia, and concerns restitutionary obligations that, to a substantial extent, arose in British Columbia. The Supreme Court of British Columbia has jurisdiction with respect to this matter and the Plaintiff pleads the *Court Jurisdiction and Proceedings Transfer Act*, 2003, SBC Chapter 28 and amendments thereto.

Rule 7-1 (1) of the Supreme Court Civil Rules states:

- (1) Unless all parties of record consent or the court otherwise orders, each party of record to an action must, within 35 days after the end of the pleading period,
 - (a) prepare a list of documents in Form 22 that lists
 - (i) all documents that are or have been in the party's possession or control and that could, if available, be used by any party at trial to prove or disprove a material fact, and
 - (ii) all other documents to which the party intends to refer at trial, and
 - (b) serve the list on all parties of record.

APPENDIX

CONCISE SUMMARY OF NATURE OF CLAIM:

A claim on behalf of persons resident in Canada who, during the Class Period, had their copyrighted works used by Anthropic without license of permission to train its Al Large Language Models.

THIS CLAIM ARISES FROM THE FOLLOWING:

A portornal injury anomy out of.		
	a motor vehicle accident	
	medical malpractice	
	another cause	
A dispute concerning:		
	contaminated sites	
	construction defects	

real property (real estate)

A personal injury arising out of

	personal property
	the provision of goods or services or other general commercial matters
	investment losses
	the lending of money
	an employment relationship
	a will or other issues concerning the probate of an estate
\boxtimes	a matter not listed here
THIS CLAIM INVOLVES:	
\boxtimes	a class action
	maritime law
	aboriginal law
	constitutional law
	conflict of laws
	none of the above
	do not know